LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

The Letters to the Editor section is divided into three categories entitled Notes, Comments, and Errata. Letters to the Editor are limited to one and three-fourths journal pages as described in the Announcement in the 1 July 1998 issue.

NOTES

Stability and structure of Ni⁺Ar_n and Pt⁺Ar_n clusters

Michalis Velegrakis, George E. Froudakis,^{a)} and Stavros C. Farantos^{a)} Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, P.O. Box 1527, 711 10 Heraklion, Greece

(Received 20 April 1998; accepted 10 June 1998)

[S0021-9606(98)01235-5]

In a series of previous papers,¹⁻⁴ we have systematically investigated the structure and the stability of noble gas clusters doped with metal cations $(Na^+,K^+,Mg^+,Sr^+,Al^+,In^+)$ using time of flight mass spectrometry. The metal ions in these complexes exhibit spherical electronic configurations (*s* orbitals). Therefore, applying either geometrical models of hard sphere packing³ or molecular dynamics simulations⁴ based on pairwise additive potentials we could explain the magic numbers (the fingerprint of the most stable clusters) appearing in the mass spectra. Furthermore, calculations with more elaborate potentials have also been performed for $Mg^+Ar_n^{-5}$ and $Sr^+Ar_n^{-6}$ clusters.

On the other hand, very little is known for the structure and stability of noble gas clusters doped with transition metal ions, where the interaction is expected to be strongly anisotropic. Only a few such examples have appeared in the literature containing metals with d^k orbitals such as Co⁺Ar_n,^{7,8} V⁺Ar_n,⁸ Nb⁺Ar_n,⁹ Rh⁺Ar_n,⁹ and Fe⁺Ar_n.¹⁰

The structural properties of this type of clusters could not successfully be explained by the application of pairwise additive potentials as was the case of the extra stability found for V⁺Ar₄ and Co⁺Ar₆ clusters.^{7,8} Recently, Beyer *et al.*⁹ observed strong signals for Nb⁺Ar₄ and Rh⁺Ar₆ in similar studies. The authors used simple arguments based on the geometry of the empty or half-filled *d* orbitals as well as *ab initio* calculations to explain the appearance of the magic numbers.

In this Note, we present the time of flight spectra of Ni^+Ar_n and Pt^+Ar_n clusters. The structures and the nature of bonding between the cation and the inert gas atoms are inferred by performing *ab initio* calculations.

The experiments have been performed in a molecular beam apparatus equipped with a reflectron time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer. It is described in detail elsewhere.² The metal ion-doped argon clusters are produced using a combination of nozzle expansion and laser ablation. We study the positive ions which are produced directly from the plasma/noble gas mixing without the use of postionization, therefore, it is expected that these clusters are sufficiently relaxed and the measured size distribution reflects the stability of these species.

The theoretical treatment of the transition metal iondoped argon aggregates involves density functional theory (DFT) calculations with the GAUSSIAN94 program package.¹¹ The three-parameter hybrid functional of Becke¹² using the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional¹³ (B3LYP), was employed for the geometry optimizations. The atomic basis set for the complexes of Ni⁺Ar_n, n = 1-6, includes Gaussian functions of triple zeta quality augmented with *d*-polarization functions (6-311G^{*}).¹¹ For larger clusters (Ni⁺Ar₇) we use the effective core potential, double zeta basis set, LanL2DZ.¹⁴

In Fig. 1 we show the TOF spectra for Ni⁺Ar_n and Pt⁺Ar_n clusters. The small peaks between the main series of M⁺Ar_n complexes correspond to the M⁺(H₂O)Ar_n clusters originating from water impurities in the Ar-gas inlet line. In both M⁺Ar_n cluster series for n>2 the peak at n=4 dominates, accompanied by a less intense local maximum at n = 6, and then follows a relatively structureless spectrum. We could clearly observe clusters up to $n \approx 60$ for both transition metal ions. These spectra are similar to the Nb⁺Ar_n and V⁺Ar_n clusters with the exception that besides the very pronounced peak at n=4, the M⁺Ar₆ complex appears to be stable as well.

The electronic configuration of Ni⁺ is $[Ar]3d^9$ and that of Pt⁺ is $[Xe]5d^9$, that is, there are four doubly occupied *d* orbitals and one singly occupied. Following the picture of the coordinative saturation of Nb⁺ and Rh⁺ with Ar ligands presented in Ref. 9, we expect for the case of Ni⁺ and Pt⁺ that the singly occupied orbital $d_{x^2-y^2}$ will expose to the Ar ligands four sites of lower electron density, thus, allowing the Ar atoms to experience lower repulsion and therefore approach closer to the central ion. This results in a strong interaction for only four Ar atoms, and thus a special stable square planar M⁺Ar₄ complex. In the framework of this simple picture, the enhanced intensity observed for M⁺Ar₆ is not expected.

The DFT calculations for Ni⁺Ar gave a bond length of

4687



FIG. 1. Time of flight spectra of the Ni⁺Ar_n and Pt⁺Ar_n clusters. The most stable clusters are indicated by the number n of Ar atoms.

2.42 Å which can be compared with that of Bauschlicher et al.¹⁵ (2.38 Å). The binding energy is 0.456 eV compared to 0.464 eV of Ref. 15. For Ni^+Ar_2 we found that the most stable configuration is linear with a bond length of 2.33 Å a value which is in good agreement with that of Ref. 15 (2.36 Å). The binding energies are 0.964 and 0.942 eV, respectively. The Ni⁺Ar₃ complex has a T-shaped geometry with the two symmetric Ar atoms bonded strongly to Ni^+ (2.29) Å) and the third sitting at the top of Ni^+ at a distance of 3.27 Å. The two equal Ar–Ar distances are 4.03 Å. For Ni⁺Ar₄ we found the expected square planar geometry with a Ni⁺-Ar distance of 2.51 Å. The structure of Ni⁺Ar₅ is formed by adding a fifth Ar atom on the top of Ni⁺ and at the distance of 3.48 Å. The geometry of the square base does not change. Hence, the fifth Ar atom is weakly bonded to the Ni⁺Ar₄ square planar base. The Ni⁺Ar₆ cluster was found to be a square bipyramid (D_{4h} symmetry). The geometry of the square base is slightly changed $(Ni^+ - Ar = 2.52 \text{ Å},$ Ar-Ar=3.56 Å), whereas the top and the bottom Ar atoms come closer to the Ni⁺ (3.38 Å) than in Ni⁺Ar₅. The theoretical results for the total and binding energies, as well as atomic charges produced by Mulliken population analysis of the Ni^+Ar_n clusters are presented in Table I.

Analysis of the molecular orbitals reveals that the singly occupied *d* orbital is the $d_{x^2-y^2}$. According to a simple elec-

TABLE I. Binding energies and Mulliken population analysis of Ni^+Ar_n clusters.

	Total energy	Binding	Ni	Mulliken population Ar	
п	(hartree)	(eV)	INI	In the plane	Out of plane
1	-2035.46257	0.456	0.92	0.08	
2	-2563.03442	0.964	0.78	2×0.11	
3	-3090.59941	1.284	0.78	$2 \times 0.105 + 1 \times 0.01$	
4	-3618.15475	1.342	0.77	4×0.06	
5	-4145.70860	1.359	0.77	4×0.06	1×0.005
6	-4673.26266	1.383	0.78	4×0.054	2×0.006

trostatic picture we expect the first four ligands to approach Ni^+ in the x-y plane saturating four strong bonds. In this way we understand the stable planar geometry of Ni⁺Ar₄. The next bonding saturation occurs by adding two more argon atoms to form the D_{4h} symmetry structure of Ni⁺Ar₆. The two new bonds are weaker and at longer distances from Ni^+ since the Ar atoms face a doubly occupied d orbital (d_{z^2}) . However, plots of the electron density show an interaction among the Ni⁺-Ar orbitals something which is reflected in the Mulliken population analysis (Table I). Larger clusters will be formed by filling a second shell of argon atoms with even weaker bonds. Indeed, calculations with the effective core potential double zeta basis set, LanL2DZ,¹⁴ show that in the Ni⁺Ar₇ the extra Ar atom is capping one triangular face of the Ni⁺Ar₆ square bipyramid with two Ar-Ar distances equal to 4.24 Å and one of 4.81 Å from the top (bottom) argon atom.

- ^{a)}Also at Department of Chemistry, University of Crete, 711 10 Heraklion, Crete, Greece.
- ¹M. Velegrakis and C. Lüder, Chem. Phys. Lett. 223, 139 (1994).
- ²C. Lüder and M. Velegrakis, J. Chem. Phys. **105**, 2167 (1996).
- ³C. Lüder, D. Prekas, and M. Velegrakis, Laser Chem. 17, 109 (1997).
- ⁴D. Prekas, C. Lüder, and M. Velegrakis, J. Chem. Phys. **108**, 4450 (1998).
- ⁵G. S. Fanourgakis and S. C. Farantos, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 3900 (1996).
- ⁶G. S. Fanourgakis, S. C. Farantos, C. Lüder, M. Velegrakis, and S. S. Xantheas, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 108 (1998).
- ⁷D. E. Lessen and P. J. Brucat, Chem. Phys. Lett. **149**, 10 (1988).
- ⁸D. E. Lessen and P. J. Brucat, Chem. Phys. Lett. 91, 4522 (1989).
- ⁹M. Beyer, C. Berg, G. Albert, U. Achatz, and V. E. Bondybey, Chem. Phys. Lett. **280**, 459 (1997).
- ¹⁰S. Bililign, C. S. Feigerle, J. C. Miller, and M. Velegrakis, J. Chem. Phys. **108**, 6312 (1998).
- ¹¹GAUSSIAN 94, Revision D.4, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, T. Keith, G. A. Petersson, J. A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M. A. Al-Laham, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. V. Ortiz, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, J. S. Binkley, D. J. Defrees, J. Baker, J. P. Stewart, M. Head-Gordon, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.
- ¹²A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648 (1993).
- ¹³C. Lee, W. Yang, and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 37, 785 (1988).
- ¹⁴ P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys. 82, 299 (1985).
- ¹⁵C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., H. Partridge, and S. R. Langhoff, Chem. Phys. Lett. **165**, 272 (1990).